

**123 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-5821-2023

Date of Decision: 09.06.2023

Payal and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Satbir Singh Gill, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners by providing adequate security/protection and also directing respondents No.4 to 8 not to interfere in the personal lives and liberty of the petitioners and further directing respondents No.2 and 3 not to allow/let respondents No.4 to 8 or anybody else to interfere with the liberty and lives of the petitioners or harass them in any manner against the wishes of the petitioners as both the petitioners are in live-in-relationship and residing together.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major but petitioner No.2 is not of marriageable age and as such they are living in live-in-relationship against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 8 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents no.4 to 8. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 30.05.2023 (Annexure P-5) to respondent no.2 for granting some protection to their life and liberty.

Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

Mr. Kirpal Singh Thakur, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana

accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent no.2-Superintendent of Police, Sirsa, to decide the representation (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.

It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of their live-in relationship.

Disposed of.

09.06.2023
sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned
Whether Reportable

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

: Yes/No
: Yes/No