

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

207

2023:PHHC:054966-DB

CWP No. 16159 of 2022
Date of Decision: 19.04.2023

Divya Gupta

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Indian Bank, City Office Branch, District Jalandhar and others

....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present: Mr. D.S. Saini, Advocate,
for Mr. B.S. Bhalla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Goel, Standing Counsel,
for the respondent-Bank.

Mr. Navneet Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India challenging possession notice dated 28.09.2021 (Annexure P-2).

2. On 27.07.2022, the following order was passed:-

“Notice of motion for 16.11.2022.

Mr.Gaurav Goel, Advocate and Mr.Pankaj Gupta, Addl. A.G. Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 & 2 and 3 respectively. They seek time to file reply. May do so, on or before the next date of hearing with a copy in advance to the counsel opposite.

Subject to the petitioner paying a sum of `15 lakhs to respondents No.1 & 2 within one week from

today and continuing to pay the installments which are due hereafter in time, there shall be stay of all coercive proceedings including dispossession of the petitioner from the secured asset. In default of compliance with this order, the same shall stand vacated.”

3. Counsel submits that he does not wish to press the present petition. It is also apparent that the above said order has not been complied with.

4. The petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

19.04.2023
shivani

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes
No