

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(220-3)

CWP-15537-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision : July 27, 2023

Neeraj Kumar

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vinod Kumar Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Jagbir Malik, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate and
Mr. Shobhit Rapria, Advocate, for respondent-HPSC.

Mr. Ravinder Malik (Ravi), Advocate, for respondent No.4.

Mr. R.K. Malik, Sr. Advocate, with
Mr. Sandeep Dhull, Advocate, for respondent No.8.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

CM-10841-CWP-2020

As prayed for, the application is allowed.

Amended memo of parties is taken on record.

CWP-15537-2020

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the Division Bench of this Court while passing order in *LPA No.559 of 2021 titled as Sumit Chawla vs. State of Haryana and others, decided on 07.03.2022*, which also relate to the same advertisement, has decided that the Commission is the expert body to decide the eligibility of the candidates, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any

further with liberty to the petitioner to file appropriate representation with the Commission raising grievance with regard to the eight selected candidates qua their selection for the post of District Information and Public Relation Officer and the Commission be directed to decide the said representation in a time bound manner.

2. Learned counsel for the respondent-Commission submits that in case any representation is received from the petitioner, the same will be decided within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of the same.

3. Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the some of the selected candidates submits that in case any representation is filed against the selected candidates and the same is received by the Commission, the Commission be directed to associate the selected candidates also and seek their response to the allegations made against them, before deciding the said representation.

4. It goes without saying that in case any representation is received against any selected candidate, the Commission will seek the response of the said selected candidate qua the allegations made against them and will consider the explanation given by selected candidates before passing any order on the representation filed by the petitioner.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondent-Commission, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty as prayed for.

6. Ordered accordingly.

July 27, 2023
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No