

107

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-15866-2022

Date of Decision: October 13, 2023

Sukhdev

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr.Vinod Bhardwaj, Advocate with
Mr.Parvesh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms.Upasana Dhawan, AAG, Haryana.

.....

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J.(ORAL)

Petitioner has approached this Court praying for quashing of order dated 21.06.2022, Annexure P-13, passed by respondent No.2 vide which claim of the petitioner for entering the mutation of the allotted land has been rejected wrongly and illegally with a further prayer for issuance of directions to the respondents to enter the mutation in the revenue record of the allotted land.

Learned counsel for the petitioner fairly submits that on the representation filed by the petitioner, the impugned order was passed by the Deputy Commissioner in the absence of the record.

However, learned State counsel submits that as per Section 45 of the Haryana Land Revenue Act, if petitioner is aggrieved by the impugned order, the remedy lies with the Civil Court.

In view of the above statement of learned State counsel, learned

counsel for the petitioner fairly submits that this petition be disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to avail his remedy as available to him under the law.

In view of the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with liberty aforesaid. However, it is directed that if petitioner avails his remedy/files a petition/suit before the Court concerned/Authority, the same would be decided expeditiously in accordance with law.

October 13, 2023
meenuss

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned ? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable ? | Yes/No |