

(218)

2023:PHHC:092361

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-30026 of 2023

Date of Decision: July 21, 2023

**Ishant Mahye @ Ishu Mahye
Versus
State of Haryana**

**...Petitioner
...Respondent**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. S.K. Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(Oral)

By way of this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., petitioner prays for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.02 dated 25.02.2023 registered under Sections 419 and 420 IPC at Police Station Cyber Crime Jind, District Jind.

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is not named in the FIR; that case is triable by the Magistrate; that investigation is complete; that petitioner is in custody for the last four months and, therefore, he be allowed bail.

Learned State Counsel opposed the bail petition by pointing out that petitioner is involved in five more cases of the same nature.

Heard.

As per the allegations, an amount of ₹2,98,188/- was withdrawn from the credit card of the complainant by way of cyber fraud. During investigation, amount was found to have been transferred in the account of one Amit Kumar. The attribution to the petitioner is that

he got the said account opened in the name of Amit Kumar.

Considering the fact that the case is triable by Magistrate and petitioner is in custody for the last four months and trial may take time to conclude, but without commenting anything on the merits of the case, petitioner is admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

Disposed of.

July 21, 2023

renu

(DEEPAK GUPTA)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking:

Whether reportable:

Yes/No

Yes/No