

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(102)

CWP-15877-2022

Date of decision: - 21.08.2023

Satyapal**....Petitioner****Versus****State Information Commissioner, Haryana and others****.....Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present:- Ms. Deepika, Advocate
for Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing of order dated 19.11.2020 passed by respondent No.1 (Annexure P-9). Challenge has been made to other orders too.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that although in the impugned order dated 19.11.2020, it has been mentioned that the information has been supplied but the petitioner was insisting that he should be given the same in a tabular form. It is further submitted that the information has never been supplied and the observations in the order dated 19.11.2020 (Annexure P-9) to the said effect is not correct. It is also submitted that the petitioner would not insist upon the information being supplied in the tabular form. It is stated that however, no such averment

has been made in the present writ petition stating that the observations made in the order (Annexure P-9) to the effect that the information has been supplied are perverse and thus, seeks to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to file a fresh petition after giving full and better particulars.

3. In case the petitioner wishes to file a second petition, then, a specific affidavit with respect to the aspect that the information has not been supplied to the petitioner would be filed along with the writ petition.

August 21, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No