

2023:PHHC:161480

248

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-30009-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision-15.12.2023

Parmveer Singh and others

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present: Mr. Monty Goyal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Nidhi Garg, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. J.S. Thakur, Advocate for respondent No.2.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

CRM-48185-2023

This is an application for preponing the date of hearing of the main petition, which is fixed for 24.04.2024.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 as well as learned State counsel have no objection if the hearing of the case is preponed.

In view of the fact and in the interest of justice, the application filed by the applicants/petitioners for preponing the date of hearing of the main petition is allowed and the case is ordered to be taken up on the board of this Court today itself.

CRM-M-30009-2023

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing of FIR No.01 dated 01.01.2022 under Sections 323, 406, 498-A and 506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station Sector 9, Ambala City, District Ambala (Annexure P-1) and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 03.03.2023 (Annex P-2).

2. The aforementioned FIR had been lodged by respondent No.2/complainant and investigation was commenced thereon.

3. It is submitted by counsel for the petitioners that a compromise has been arrived at between the parties and they have resolved their *inter se* dispute, which was reduced into writing as compromise dated 03.03.2023 annexed with the present petition as Annexure P-2.

4. On the basis of said compromise, the petitioners have prayed for quashing of the aforesaid FIR and all the subsequent proceedings on the ground that continuation of such proceedings would be a futile exercise.

5. This Court vide order dated 20.07.2023 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/Trial Court for recording their statements with regard to the genuineness of the compromise stated to have been arrived at between them. The Illaqa Magistrate/Trial Court was also directed to send his/her report along with the said statements.

6. The report from the Illaqa Magistrate has been received as per which the statements of petitioners No.1 and 2 and statement of respondent No.2 as well as the Investigating Officer had been recorded in compliance

of order dated 20.07.2023 passed by this Court and that the same was recorded.

7. It is, however, stated that petitioner No.3 had not appeared before the trial Court for recording her statement. At this stage, it is informed by learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioner No.3 has not been arrested and challaned and had been inadvertently impleaded as a party to the present petition. He prays that her name may be deleted from the array of parties. In view of this submission, the name of petitioner No.3 is ordered to be deleted from the array of parties.

8. Fresh memo of parties has been filed in Court today and the same is taken on record.

9. Pursuant to the order passed by this Court, The Judicial Magistrate, Ambala has sent report dated 11.08.2023 to this Court along with the statements of respondent No.2-Meenu Bharat and Joint statement of the petitioners No.1 and 2 recorded on 11.08.2023 and statement of Investigating Officer ASI-Baljinder Kaur as recorded on 11.08.2023.

10. On the basis of these statements, it is submitted by learned Judicial Magistrate that the compromise effected between the parties is genuine, out of free Will and without any pressure or coercion. It is also mentioned in the report that apart from the petitioners, there is no other accused in the FIR and that the accused have not been declared proclaimed persons in this case.

11. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and besides perusing the report by learned Judicial Magistrate, have also perused the

record.

12. It is well settled that the High Court has power to allow compounding of a non-compoundable offence and quash the prosecution under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. where it feels that the same is required to prevent the abuse of process of law or otherwise to secure the ends of justice. Such power is not confined to matrimonial disputes alone. In this regard, reference can be made to a Full Bench judgment of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***. It is equally settled position of law that the power of High Court in quashing criminal proceedings or FIR or complaint in exercise of its inherent jurisdiction is of wide plenitude with no statutory limitation. Such power can certainly be exercised in cases relating to offences arising out of matrimony relating to dowry etc. or the family disputes where the wrong is basically private or personal in nature and the parties have resolved their entire dispute. The High Court is required to consider whether it would be unfair or contrary to the interest of justice to continue with the criminal proceedings or continuation of criminal proceedings would tantamount to abuse of process of law and whether to secure the ends of justice, it is appropriate to put an end to the criminal case and if the answer to such question is in affirmative, then the High Court is well within its jurisdiction to quash the criminal proceedings. Reference in this context can be made to Hon'ble Apex Court judgments cited as ***Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543*** and ***Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, 2014 (6) SCC 466***.

2023:PHHC:161480

13. In view of the proposition as settled in the aforementioned cases, this Court finds that continuation of proceedings would be an abuse process of the Court in the facts and circumstances of the present case which squarely falls within the ambit and parameters settled by judicial precedents and that allowing and accepting the prayer of the petitioners by quashing of the FIR would be securing the ends of justice, which is primarily the object of legislature enacted under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the FIR No.01 dated 01.01.2022 under Sections 323, 406, 498-A and 506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station Sector 9, Ambala City, District Ambala (Annexure P-1) and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are ordered to be quashed qua the petitioners on the basis of compromise dated 03.03.2023 (Annexure P-2).

14. Needless to say that the parties shall remain bound by the terms and conditions of the compromise and statements as recorded before learned Judicial Magistrate.

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

15.12.2023

geeta

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No