

2023:PHHC:098515

**206 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**Date of Decision: 01.08.2023
CRM-M-31973-2022**

**MANJIT KAUR ... PETITIONER
VS.
STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER .. RESPONDENTS**

CRM-M-32008-2022

**SADHU SINGH ... PETITIONER
VS.
STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER .. RESPONDENTS**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. Arshdeep Singh Brar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Hittan Nehra, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Ankit Joshi, Advocate (Legal Aid Counsel)
for respondent No.2.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

1. The petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in the case bearing FIR No. 129 dated 22.06.2022 under Sections 328, 498-A, 506 and 34 IPC registered at Police Station Baghapurana District Moga.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contend that the marriage of Sadhu Singh-petitioner was solemnized about 14 years ago and two sons have been born from the wedlock. The matrimonial dispute has been amicably settled between the parties through mediation. Settlement/compromise has also been received. In pursuance of amicable settlement, the parties have resolved all their dispute. Manjit Kaur-petitioner is mother-in-law of the complainant. Sadhu Singh-petitioner and complainant have resumed cohabitation. The complainant alongwith minor children is happily residing in the matrimonial house with the petitioners.

3. Learned counsel for the complainant has not disputed the aforesaid factual aspects.
4. Even, learned State counsel has not disputed the fact of amicable settlement that has been effected between the parties through mediation.
5. In these set of circumstances, both the petitions are allowed and it is directed that in the event arrest, the petitioners be admitted on bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. This order is further subject to the following conditions:-

- (1) That the petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required to do so;
- (2) That the petitioners shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer and
- (3) That the petitioners shall not leave India without prior permission of the court.

01.08.2023
smriti

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No