

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

120

**CRWP-5719-2023****Decided on : 07.06.2023**

Mahak and another

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

. . . Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

PRESENT: Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate for  
for the petitioner(s).

\*\*\*\*\*

**SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)**

1 Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to official respondents No.2 & 3, to provide protection of lives and liberty of the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of private respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 – Mahak, aged about 20 years and petitioner No.2 – Nazim @ Mayank, aged about 28 years, have solemnized marriage on 06.05.2023, against the wishes of their family members, arrayed as respondents No.4 to 14. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner No.2-Nazim has performed marriage with petitioner No.1-Mahak as per Hindu rites and ceremonies and changed his name from Nazim to Mayank. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that it is the first marriage of both the petitioners. It has been submitted that the private respondents are threatening to interfere in the matrimonial life of the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking

protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the

instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 01.06.2023 (Annexure P-6), which is sent through registered post dated 03.06.2023 to respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Pawan Jhanda, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 (State).

5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar, to look into the representation dated 01.06.2023 (Annexure P-6), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

6. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)**  
**JUDGE**

**June 07, 2023**

*Rajeev (rvs)*

*Whether speaking/reasoned:* Yes/No

*Whether Reportable:* Yes/No