

(209)

2023:PHHC:081945

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29950 of 2023

Date of Decision: June 12, 2023

Karandeep Singh @ K.D.

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. G.C. Shahpuri, Advocate for the petitioner.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C has been made for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.245 dated 29.04.2022 registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 325, 307, 379-B, 427, 506 IPC and Sections 25, 29-B, 54, 59 of Arms Act at Police Station City Jagadhri, District Yamuna Nagar.

2. FIR was lodged on the statement of one Amit Kumar, as per which on 29.04.2022, he was attacked by Manjot and Vigesh Saini, Mani Jaat, Karan Gill and 3-4 other persons, who caused grievous injuries to him. Later on, supplementary statement was also made as per which ₹70,000/- was also taken away from him by the assailants.

3. It is contended by learned counsel that petitioner is not named in the FIR. He has been arrested on 11.05.2022, on the basis of CCTV footage. It is further contended that similarly placed co-accused Kamalpreet has been allowed bail on 14.07.2022 whereas another co-accused Jaskaran Singh was allowed bail on 22.05.2023.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Randhir Singh, Addl. AG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent- State. Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate appears for the complainant.

6. The bail petition is opposed by learned State Counsel on the ground that petitioner is involved in two more cases, out of which, one case was registered during his custody period. It is also contended by learned counsel for the complainant that statements of witnesses during trial are yet to be recorded and so, petitioner does not deserve to be released on bail.

7. Petitioner is in custody since 11.05.2022 i.e. for the last more than one year. Similarly placed co-accused Kamalpreet and Jaskaran have already been allowed bail. Petitioner was not named in the FIR.

8. Having regard to above facts and circumstances of the case, but without commenting anything further on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. Petitioner is admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

June 12, 2023

renu

(DEEPAK GUPTA)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No