

232

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2023:PHHC:152078

CWP-12845-2016

Date of Decision: 30.11.2023

Maru Ram

..... Petitioner

Versus

Tehsildar-cum-Assistant Collector Ist Grade and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Rai Singh Chauhan, Advocate and
Ms. Deepika Chauhan, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Navneet Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab.
Mr. Vinod Kumar, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 4.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for quashing the order dated 29.04.2016 passed by respondent No.1 i.e. Tehsildar-cum-AC 1st Grade, Mukerian, District Hoshiarpur. Further prayer has been made to approve the mode of partition regarding the tubewell as proposed vide order dated 18.05.2015 (Annexure P-3) and place in the tak of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has fairly submitted that he may be allowed to withdraw the present petition with liberty to approach the learned Collector. He has further submitted that the Collector be directed to condone the delay.

Prayer is allowed.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for. However, as the matter remained pending with the High Court since the year 2016, the Collector is directed to consider the issue of delay sympathetically and decide the matter on merits expeditiously as per law.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

30.11.2023
sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No