

2023:PHHC:082189

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-29952-2023
Date of Decision : 19.06.2023

Gagandeep Sharma and others Petitioners
Versus
State of Punjab and others Respondents

CORAM : **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present : Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate and
Mr. Vishal Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of direction to the respondents to provide the petitioners at least 10 days advance notice in case they are required to be arrested in any criminal case which is registered or may be registered against them in connection with the roving inquiry initiated on the pretext of joining the investigation in FIR No.0015 dated 17.05.2023, registered under Sections 32, 33, 63 of the Indian Forest Act, 1927, Sections 9 & 51 of the Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972, Section 21 (1) of the Mines and Mineral (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957 and Sections 379 and 120-B IPC, at Police Station Nangal Bhoor, Pathankot and further for grant of pre-arrest bail to them in the said case.

Learned counsel representing the respondent(s)-State of Punjab,

on instructions from ASI Rajesh Kumar, states that no other complaint is pending against the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in view of the statement given by the learned counsel representing the respondent(s)-State of Punjab, the petitioners may be permitted to withdraw the present petition at this stage.

In view of the request made by learned counsel for the petitioners, the present petition is dismissed as having been withdrawn at this stage.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

19.06.2023
mamta

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No