

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP-2014-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 17.01.2023**

Ram Lal

...Petitioner

Versus

Girish Dayalan

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ayush Sarna, AAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner alleges violation of the order dated 31.01.2020 passed by this Court, vide which, without commenting on the merits of the controversy, respondent No. 2 (Deputy Commissioner, SAS Nagar) was directed to decide the representation of the petitioner.

The grievance of the petitioner, as it appears, is against a mutation, which was sanctioned by the revenue authorities, i.e. entered by the Patwari; verified by the Kanungo and finally sanctioned by the Tehsildar/Naib Tehsildar.

Be whatsoever, the petitioner has a remedy to challenge the same before the civil Court and until and unless a competent Court of law decides that the mutation has been sanctioned in an illegal manner, no contempt is made out.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

Rule stands discharged.

17.01.2023

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No