

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-31298-2022

DATE OF DECISION: 20.10.2023

CHARANPREET SINGH AND OTHERS

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr.Kunwarbir Singh, AAG, Punjab
for respondent no.1.

Mr.M.S.Sachdev, Advocate,
for respondent no.2.

MANISHA BATRA, J,(ORAL)

1. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioners despite the fact that the matter had been passed over twice.

2. A perusal of the record reveals that vide order dated 21.07.2022, the parties were directed to appear before the learned *Illaq*a Magistrate/Duty Magistrate on 24.08.2022 for recording their statements in pursuance of compromise stated to have been arrived at between the parties.

3. Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jalandhar, has also sent his report submitting that statements of the parties had been recorded and the compromise so effected was voluntary, without any pressure or coercion.

4. Learned State counsel has brought my attention to statement of complainant as recorded before learned Magistrate on 24.08.2022 which reveals that she had given her no-objection for quashing of the FIR subject to realization

of the cheque for an amount of Rs.4 lakhs which had been given to her by petitioner no.1 at that time.

5. It is submitted that the said cheque had been bounced and thereafter despite availing several opportunities, the petitioners have not complied with the terms and conditions of the compromise so far.

6. It appears that the petitioners are no more interested to pursue the present petition. Hence, the same is dismissed for want of prosecution.

7. Respondent no.2 shall however, be at liberty to pursue the remedies as available to her under law in respect of any legal proceeding withdrawn by her in terms of the compromise.

20.10.2023
'D'Vir

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No