

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2023:PHHC:099453-DB

219

CWP-13772-2014

Date of Decision : **August 01, 2023**

AADHAR GOEL

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI**

Present: Mr. Shailendra Jain, Sr. Advocate assisted by
Mr. Munish Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. AG, Haryana with
Mr. Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR. J.(Oral)

1. Since the learned counsel for the petitioner concedes, that in terms of the apposite statutory ingredients, as interpreted in the judgment made by the Constitution Bench of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in case titled **“Indore Development Authority and others Vs. Manoharlal and others, AIR 2020 SC 1496**, the respondent-State has taken *rapat* possession of the acquired land in the year 2007. Moreover, since the learned counsel for the petitioner further states, that the compensation amount, as became determined by the Collector concerned, also became tendered on 16.7.2007, thus for its becoming released to the land owners concerned.

2. Consequently, thereby the present petitioner is not entitled to claim the benefit of the apposite lapsing statutory provisions, as, interpreted in judgment (Supra), as such the learned counsel prays for, and, is permitted to withdraw the extant writ petition.

- 3. Prayer is allowed.
- 4. Disposed of as such.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

August 01, 2023
ajay-1

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No