

Date of Decision: April 18, 2023

.....Petitioner(s)

Central Bureau of Investigation

.....Respondent(s)

Present: Mr. Sarvesh Malika, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sushil K. Tekriwal, Advocate;.
Mr. Anupam Singla, Advocate and
Mr. U.M. Khan, Advocate for the complainant.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Aggrieved by the order dated 21.05.2018, passed by ASJ, Gurugram upholding the order dated 08.11.2017, passed by Juvenile Justice Board, Gurugram, vide which application for obtaining finger prints of the petitioner was allowed.

2. Counsel for the complainant opposes the present petition and submits that in view of the law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in case title as ***State of Bombay Vs. Kathi Kalu Oghad and others , 1961 AIR 1808***, fingerprints of an accused can be taken and it is not the violation of his Rights under Article 21 of Constitution of India.

3. Counsel for the petitioner has nothing to rebut the arguments advanced by the counsel for the respondent/complainant.

4. Given above, the present petition is dismissed. All pending application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

April 18, 2023

sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

No