

2023:PHHC:152479

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

236

CRR-89-2017(O&M)
Date of Decision : November 30, 2023

PARDEEP KUMAR

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

SUKHBIR AND ANR.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Tanvir S. Grewal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Akashdeep Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

CRM-948-2017

1. The present application has been filed for condonation of delay of 54 days in filing the present appeal.
2. Considering the valid, and, good reasons, as mentioned in the application for condoning the apposite delay of 54 days, therefore, the application is allowed.
3. Delay of 54 days in filing the revision is condoned.

Main case

4. Through the instant petition, challenge is thrown to the order dated 22.8.2016, whereby the application for discharge of respondent No.1 was allowed by the learned trial Court.

CRR-89-2017(O&M)

5. During the pendency of the instant petition, all the witnesses cited by the prosecution have reported to be examined. It is not disputed by both the counsel for the parties that all the relevant witnesses have turned hostile.

6. Considering the above factual aspect, no further interference is required in the instant petition. Therefore, the same is, hereby, dismissed.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

November 30, 2023
ajay-1

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No