

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31114-2022

Date of decision: 29.05.2023

Daljit Singh and others

....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Mr. Tarun Sharma, Advocate, for Mr. Bikramjit
Randhawa, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Mohit Thakur, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, Advocate,
for respondent No. 2.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J.

This is a petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, for quashing of DDR No. 031 dated 30.11.2019 under Sections 323, 324, 506, 148 and 149 IPC, registered at Police Station Kathu Nangal, District Amritsar Rural (Annexure P-2), in case FIR No. 151 dated 30.11.2019 under Sections 323, 324, 341, 506, 148, 149 IPC (Section 326 IPC added lateron), registered at Police Station Kathu Nangal, District Amritsar Rural, (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 04.07.2022 (Annexure P-3) executed between the parties.

[2]. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 04.07.2022 (Annexure P-3), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to

CRM-M-31114-2022

-2-

with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Amritsar, vide report dated 12.12.2022 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[3]. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

[4]. In view of the aforesaid report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Amritsar, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant-respondent No. 2 has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

[5]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. DDR No. 031 dated 30.11.2019 under Sections 323, 324, 506, 148 and 149 IPC, registered at Police Station Kathu Nangal, District Amritsar Rural (Annexure P-2), in case FIR No. 151 dated 30.11.2019 under Sections 323, 324, 341, 506, 148, 149 IPC (Section 326 IPC added lateron), registered at Police Station Kathu Nangal, District Amritsar Rural, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

29.05.2023

preeti