

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

206

CWP-11570-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 19.07.2023

SANDEEP THAMMAN

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Ms. Niharika Sharma, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Sarika Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)
CM-11034-CWP-2017

The instant application has been filed under Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 for placing on record reply on behalf of respondent No.3.

Application is allowed as prayed for.

CWP-11570-2016

The instant petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari for quashing of order dated 29.04.2016 (Annexure P-14) passed in complaint No. 1095 filed by the petitioner.

It is apparent from a perusal of the order sheet that the last opportunity was granted to the petitioner to advance arguments vide order dated 01.05.2018. The case was adjourned to 20.08.2018 and the same was further adjourned to 04.02.2019 by order.

On the said hearing it was informed that counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner was hospitalized. The matter was thus adjourned again to 20.08.2019. On the above said date, learned counsel for the petitioner was stated to be in personal difficulty. The case was thus adjourned to 14.11.2019 when again on the request of the petitioner, the case was adjourned to 20.02.2020.

Learned counsel for the petitioner was again informed to be indisposed. Last opportunity was granted to the parties to address arguments. Hearing was deferred to 14.08.2020. However, the matter could not be taken up on account of the COVID circumstances and was thereafter listed. Vide office order dated 26.05.2022, the matter was listed for 12.12.2022. A request for adjournment was made again on behalf of the counsel for the petitioner due to being in personal difficulty on the said date of hearing when the case adjourned to 12.04.2023. The same request for adjournment was made again on the said date. The matter was thus adjourned for today. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner today as well. There is no reason for granting further adjournments given such large number of concessions having already been extended to the petitioner. It seems that the petitioner is no longer interested in pursuing the present petition.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

JULY 19, 2023

Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No