

118

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-5616-2023

Date of Decision:05.06.2023

SURJEET SINGH

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Parvez Chugh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Shiva Khurmi, AAG, Punjab
(assisted by ASI Jhirmal Singh)
for respondents No.1 to 3.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent No.2 to take appropriate action against private respondents No.4 to 7.

2. Learned State counsel, who on advance notice is present in Court accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents and submits that both the parties were called in the Police Station, however, none of them have joined the investigation, thus, no conclusion could be drawn.

3. On being confronted with aforesaid facts, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner would remain present as and when called by the jurisdictional police authorities.

4. In view of statement of learned counsel for the parties, no further order is warranted, however, jurisdictional police authorities are

CRWP-5616-2023-2-2023:PHHC:081524

expected to take appropriate action on representation dated 12.05.2023

(Annexure P-1).

5. Disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

05.06.2023
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>