

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

208 CRM-M-29692-2023
Date of Decision: 17.07.2023

Dharamraj Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and another Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Mr. Rohit Rana, , Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Manish Bansal, Sr. D.A.G, Haryana.
Mr. G.C. Shahpuri, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioner/complainant seeks cancellation of bail only on the ground that conditions No. 10 and 11 of order dated 30.01.2023 have not been complied by respondent No. 2.

2. On this, counsel for accused-respondent No.2 submits that condition No. 10 is not applicable because respondent No. 2 did not have any weapon. Regarding condition No. 11 counsel submits that incident narrated by the petition had taken place in the Court premises on the date of hearing and now on his advise, the accused has left the village and he will not return till the examination of complainant and other private witnesses.

3. Given above, no further order is required to be passed in this petition and the same is dispoed of in view of the undertaking given by accused-respondent No.2. All pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

17.07.2023
Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No