

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

1. CRM-M No.29442 of 2023

Jagdev Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

2. CRM-M No.29454 of 2023

Buta Khan

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

3. CRM-M No.29485 of 2023

Parveen Begam

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

Date of decision: 17th July, 2023

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Sumeet Puri, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Vinay K. Gupta, Asst. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners in all the three petitions detailed
hereinabove are seeking the concession of bail under Section 438

Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.39 dated 05.05.2023 under Sections 420/406 of the IPC registered at Police Station Chhajli, District Sangrur.

2. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 05.06.2023, while noticing the following submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioners and asked them to join investigation.

“Learned counsel for the petitioners inter alia contends that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the case in hand on the allegations that they in connivance with each other lured the complainant to part with ₹ 15 lakhs along with some gold on the pretext that some rituals would be performed and thereafter some more gold jewellery would be dug up from the house of the complainant. Learned counsel submits that the petitioners have clean antecedents and are not involved in any other criminal case much less a case of similar nature. He further submits that the petitioners are ready to join investigation and cooperate with the investigating agency.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in compliance of the order dated 05.06.2023, the petitioners have joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Kuldeep Singh, does not dispute the factum of the petitioners having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions submits that the petitioners are not required for further investigation much less for their custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petitions are allowed and the interim order dated 05.06.2023 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioners misuse the concession of bail granted to them, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to them.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

July 17, 2023
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No