

102

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-12171-2015

Date of Decision: December 19, 2023

Gian Chand

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Ms.Rashika Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms.Akshita Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr.Baldev Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for respondent No.7.

.....

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J.(ORAL)

Petitioner has approached this Court praying for quashing of impugned order dated 13.05.2015, Annexure P-8, whereby the revision petition filed by the petitioner against the impugned order dated 08.07.2014, Annexure P-5, directing respondent No.6 to recover the amount of Rs.4,73,560/- and consequently recovery notice, dated 23.09.2014, Annexure P-6, issued in pursuance of the same by respondent No.6, has illegally and erroneously been dismissed. It is further prayed to stay the operation of the impugned orders, Annexures P-8, P-5 and P-6 during pendency of the present petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is no more and thus, the present petition is rendered infructuous and may be disposed of as such.

In view of the above statement made by learned counsel for the

petitioner, the present present petition is disposed of as infructuous. However, parties would be at liberty to pursue their remedies in accordance with law if any cause of action accrues to them.

December 19, 2023

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

meenuss

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned ? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable ? | Yes/No |