

276

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-18396-2012 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 07.11.2023

Satnam Kaur

. . . . Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

. . . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Shivani Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Mukesh Kumar Bhatnagar, Advocate
for respondents No.5 to 8.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)

1. The petitioner stands already terminated from service from the year 2014. The said issue is under challenge by the petitioner in LPA No.552 of 2023.
2. In the present petition, the petitioner claims promotion.
3. Since the services of the petitioner already stand dismissed, no claim for promotion can be considered. However, if the above said LPA is allowed and the petitioner is reinstated, the consequential claim regarding other benefits can be revived by the petitioner by filing a fresh writ petition.
4. Present writ petition has become otiose. No orders can be passed in the present petition.

- 5. Writ Petition is *dismissed*.
- 6. All pending applications also stand disposed of accordingly.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

November 07, 2023

Mohit goyal

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable? | Yes/No |