

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(132)

CWP-12907-2023

Date of decision: - 02.06.2023

Chandigarh Citi Centre Buyers Welfare Association (Regd.)

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ferry Sofat, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.
for respondents No.1 and 2.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the official respondents to cancel the partial completion certificates dated 20.04.2018, 22.11.2018 and 13.06.2019 (Annexures P-2 to P-4).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised in the present writ petition, the petitioner has sent a legal notice dated 11.01.2023 (Annexure P-8) and at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied in case respondent No.3/Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Zirakpur is directed to consider the said legal notice dated 11.01.2023 (P-8) and in case, respondent No.3 finds merits in the

pleas raised by the petitioner, then, the necessary action be taken as expeditiously as possible.

3. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to consider the said legal notice dated 11.01.2023 (Annexure P-8) within a period of six weeks from today and after considering the same, in case, respondent No.3 is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritorious, then, the appropriate action, in accordance with law, be taken after hearing all the concerned parties. In case, respondent No.3 is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritless, then, an appropriate order rejecting the same be passed within a period of two months from today.

4. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the matter would be considered and decided by respondent No.3 independently, in accordance with law.

June 02, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No