

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh**Civil Revision No. 2279 of 2020****Date of Decision: 17.10.2023**

Sadhu Ram alias Shiv Verma and Others

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Santosh and Others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kshetarpal.Present: Mr. Yagsimant Attri, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).Mr. Sanjiv Kumar Aggarwal and Mr. Ojas Bansal,
Advocates, for the respondent No.1 to 12.**Anil Kshetarpal, J.**

1. In the present revision petition, the petitioners are the defendant No.1, 2, 4, 6 and 7 in the plaintiffs' suit for the grant of decree of declaration and a separate possession by way of partition of the property with respect to the land measuring 16 marlas located at Pehowa. The defendants, while filing the written statement, contended that the plaintiffs have not impleaded Meenakshi, Bhupinder Kaur and Jatinder Kaur as the defendants in the suit. On the application filed by the plaintiffs, the aforesaid persons have been impleaded as the defendants to the suit and the plaintiffs have been permitted to challenge the correctness of the sale deed in their favour.

3. The correctness of the aforesaid order has been challenged by the defendant No.1, 2, 4, 6 and 7 in this revision petition.

4. The learned counsel representing the petitioners does not

dispute that while filing the written statement, the defendant had objected to

the maintainability of the suit for non-impleadment of the aforesaid persons.
The plaintiffs have only taken the corrective steps in accordance with the objection taken by the defendant.

5. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, no ground is made out to interfere. Hence, the present revision petition is dismissed.

(Anil Kshetarpal)
Judge

October 17, 2023
“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No