

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

115

CWP-13198-2023

Date of Decision : 04.07.2023

Vijendra Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

UOI and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr.Nikhil Anand, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India is seeking directions to respondent No.2 to decide appeal dated 12.01.2022 (Annexure P-9) filed against order dated 22.07.2019.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the petitioner has preferred an appeal dated 12.01.2022 assailing order dated 22.07.2019 passed by respondent No.4 whereby services of the petitioner have been terminated under Rule 5 (i) of Central Civil Services (Temporary Service) Rules, 1965. The appeal was filed in January 2022, however, till date the appellate authority has not decided the appeal though it is a case of livelihood of the petitioner and he has lost job on account of order dated 22.07.2019.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Shivoy Dhir, Senior Panel Counsel accepts notice on behalf of respondents - UOI and fairly submits that the respondents would adjudicate appeal of the petitioner within 6 weeks from today.

I have heard the arguments of learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Without commenting on merits of the case and considering the nature and gravity of the prayer made in appeal as well as statement of the State counsel, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide appeal of the petitioner within 06 weeks from today.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

04.07.2023
anju

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>