

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

132

CRWP-5585-2023(O&M)
Decided on : 02.06.2023

Ramandeep Kaur and another

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Armaan Gagneja, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. By way of filing this petition, petitioners seek necessary protection of their lives and personal liberty in view of the fact that they have not solemnized their marriage so far and are living in a live-in relationship, and are under eminent threat at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners also submits that petitioners are in a live-in relationship against the wishes of respondents No. 4 to 6, and have sought protection of their lives and liberty. It is submitted that petitioner No. 1-Ramandeep Kaur, is aged about 26 years and petitioner No. 2 – Sukhveer Singh, is aged about 31 years, and they apprehend danger at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 6, who will eliminate them, if they intend to solemnize the marriage at relevant time.

3. In the context of threat perception at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 6, petitioners have allegedly moved representation dated 27.05.2023 (Annexure P-3) to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Sri Muktsar Sahib, (respondent No.2), wherein, all the apprehensions qua their lives and liberty have been expressed.

4. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3.

5. On advance notice, Mr. Anmol Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab, puts in appearance on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.
6. Since petitioners have not contracted any marriage and seek only protection qua their lives and personal liberty, and for the same already representation dated 27.05.2023 (Annexure P-3) is pending, it would be appropriate to direct respondent No. 2 to have a fair look to the said representation, on the grievance of the petitioners in order to ascertain veracity of allegations made by them.
7. Respondents No.2 would be at liberty to devise his/her own mechanism to ascertain the truth. He/she would also be at liberty to join the petitioners or any other person acquainted with facts in issue. If the innocence of the petitioners are established, then respondent No.2 shall proceed to take appropriate action in order to protect lives and personal liberty of the petitioners from being invaded by private respondent No.4 to 6.
8. The aforesaid order is being passed at this stage without meaning anything on the status of the parties on the basis of live-in relationship and age of petitioners. Respondent No.2 would consider and pass necessary order without being influenced by any statement of fact recorded hereinabove.
9. Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

June 02, 2023

k.nain

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No