

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

218

CRM-M-30400-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 02.03.2023

SIKANDER @ BHOLU

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Ms. Suman Sagar, Advocate for
Mr. Jitender Dhanda, for the petitioner

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG Haryana

AMAN CHAUDHARY. J.

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.429 dated 26.11.2021, under Sections 307, 120-B, 34 of the Indian Penal Code and 25 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Sadar Hansi District Hisar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of order dated 18.07.2022, of this Court, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but has also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Bal Krishan affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigation agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and

the order dated 18.07.2022 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr. P.C

However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigation agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

March 02, 2023

S.Sharma(syr)

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No