

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29339-2023

Date of Decision: 02.06.2023

RAVINDER KUMAR AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: Mr. Manoj Kumar Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (Oral)

Heard.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners *inter alia* contends that Gurjeet Saini s/o petitioner Nos. 1 & 2 is required by police in two FIRs registered at Police Station, Model Town, Hoshiarpur and petitioner Nos. 3, 4 & 5 are their other children who have no concern with the said Gurjeet Saini and even petitioner Nos. 1 & 2 had dis-inherited him vide Annex. P-1 dated 06.07.2021 but, despite that the police of District Hoshiarpur is calling the petitioners time and again to the police station and harassing them and in this regard the petitioners have already moved the representation dated 29.05.2023 Annexure P-3 to respondent No.3. Hence this petition.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Shubham Kaushik, AAG, Punjab who is present in Court accepts notice on behalf of the respondent/State.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, without commenting on the merits of the petition, the petition is hereby disposed of

with the direction to respondent No.3/Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur, to consider and decide the Annex. P-3 expeditiously in accordance with law. The decision so taken be intimated to the petitioners as well.

6. It is however, made clear that any observation made above shall not affect the merits of the case nor the same will influence the concerned authority in deciding the representation in accordance with law.

(SANJIV BERRY)
(JUDGE)

02.06.2023
kv

Whether reportable	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
	: Yes/No		