

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-5548-2023

Date of Decision:01.08.2023

Babli Devi and Anr.

...Petitioners

vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr.Mukesh Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gaurav Gurcharan Singh Rai, DAG, Haryana.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners, who are the real sisters, have filed the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for issuance of a Writ in the nature of Mandamus, directing the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners, as they apprehend threat at the hands of respondents No.4 to 8 and their other associates.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners were married to two real brothers; however, husband of petitioner No.2 has expired and husband of petitioner No.1 is not living with her for the last several years. He further contends that both the sisters are being threatened by respondents No.4 to 8 and have been caused serious injuries by them. However, the local police has colluded with them and no action has been taken against them.

3. Pursuant to the notice, a status report by way of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Kanina, Mahendergarh, District

Mahenderagarh has been filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4.

4. Learned State counsel submits that the matter has been enquired by the local police. Both the parties are claiming the ownership on a plot, due to this reason, there is enmity between them and both the parties have repeatedly moved the applications against each other by levelling false allegations. As per learned counsel, there was a scuffle between the parties over the possession of a plot and the proceedings under Sections 107/151 Cr.PC have been initiated against both the sides. Learned State counsel has further assured the Court that in case any representation is made by the petitioners with regard to protection of their life and liberty, appropriate action shall be taken against the persons, who are found guilty, in accordance with law.

5. Learned counsel further submits that both the parties have already been directed to maintain peace in a village and breach of peace by anyone would not be permitted.

6. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, no further directions are required and the petition is ordered to be disposed off, accordingly.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

01.08.2023
hitesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No