

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(252)

CWP-15069-2022

Date of decision: - 18.10.2023

Rajan**....Petitioner****Versus****State of Haryana and others****.....Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present:- Mr. Tarun Yadav, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, DAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent to consider and promote the petitioner to the post of Senior Scientific Officer i.e. as Scientific Assistant (Lie Detection/Psychology) from the deemed dated with effect from 28.02.2011 and to the post of Senior Scientific Assistant with effect from 28.02.2012 and as Senior Scientific Officer with effect from 28.02.2014 with all consequential service benefits.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances of the petitioner, the petitioner had given a representation dated 13.10.2021 (Annexure P-12) and he would be satisfied in case the competent authority of the respondent authorities considers the same in accordance with law, within a specified time frame and in case the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then, grant him the appropriate relief.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that although, there is a substantial delay in filing the present writ petition, but the competent authority of the respondent-State would consider the said representation dated 13.10.2021 (Annexure P-12), filed by the petitioner, in accordance with law and the same would be done within a period of three months from the date of certified copy of this order.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority of the respondent authorities to consider the representation dated 13.10.2021 (Annexure P-12) filed by the petitioner within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and in case the competent authority of the respondent authorities is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritorious, then, the necessary relief be granted to the petitioner as expeditiously as possible. In case the competent authority of the respondent authorities is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritless, then, a speaking order rejecting the claim be passed within the aforesaid period of three months.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of the respondent authorities would consider and decide the matter independently, in accordance with law.

October 18, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No