

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

244 CRM-36482-2017 in/and
CRR-4181-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 5th July, 2023

Mam Chand and another ... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana Collector and others ... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Bhag Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.
Mr. Fateh Saini, Advocate for the respondents.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in spite of his best effort he is unable to get instructions from his clients for pursuing the matter.
2. Dismissed for non-prosecution.
3. Since the main case has been dismissed for non-prosecution, the pending application(s), if any is rendered infructuous.
4. Needless to say that the petitioners would be at liberty to make an application for revival in case cause of action still survives.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

5th July, 2023
anuradha

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No