

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.11747 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision :14.02.2023**

**Indian Medical Association, Haryana State Branch through its
President**

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE**

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

Mr. Akshay Bhan, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Shantanu Bansal, Advocate for respondent No.7.

RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral):

Vide this petition, the petitioner has challenged the provision of Section 19(d) of The Punjab Ayurvedic and Unani Practitioners (Haryana Amendment) Act, 2014, which permits right to practice in the Indian Medicine, on the ground that the treatment given by the doctors, including minor surgery etc., was prohibited by the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 and the Indian Medicine Central Council Act, 1970.

Learned Senior counsel appearing for respondent No.7 submits that the SLPs filed against the judgments rendered by the Delhi High Court are still pending before the Supreme Court. However, subsequently the Central Government has enacted the National Commission for Indian System of Medicine Act 2020, wherein all these practices are permitted to be undertaken by the Ayurvedic Doctors. He submits that in such

circumstances, the petition has been rendered infructuous and the same be disposed of, as such.

Be that as it may, as none has caused appearance on behalf of the petitioner to pursue the matter, the same is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

14.02.2023
Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No