

241

2023:PHHC:085020

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-32027-2017 in/and

CRR-3653-2017

Date of decision: 6th July, 2023

Yashwant

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. S.K. Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

1. This criminal revision petition is accompanied by application under Section 5 of Limitation Act for condoning of delay of 420 days in filing the present revision petition.
2. The reason given for explaining the delay is that earlier petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. was filed and after registry raised an objection, the revision petition was filed.
3. From the perusal of the application, it is forthcoming that no details with regard to the filing and return of the petition earlier have been pleaded. During arguments, it transpired that there was a delay of more than an year in filing the petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. and there is no reason explaining the delay.
4. In the absence of any reason explaining the delay much less the sufficient cause, no ground is made out for condoning the delay, the application is dismissed, consequently, the revision petition is dismissed.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

6th July, 2023
Parveen Sharma

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes / No
2. Whether reportable : Yes / No