

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

207

**CWP-17316-2012
Date of decision: 30.01.2023**

DR. LEENU NARANG AND ANOTHER

..Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Harpal Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar Bansal,
petitioner No.2 in person.

Mr. Athar Ahmed, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Kannan Malik, Advocate
for Mr. Salil Sabhlok, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

The petitioner No.2 has appeared in person. The petitioners pray for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari to quash the communications dated 12/13.06.2012 and 24/28.07.2012.

From the reading of the petition, it is evident that under a specified project University Grants Commission had permitted the colleges to make regular appointment to the post of the Assistant Professors. The petitioners were appointed on a contractual basis upto 31.03.2016. When their names were forwarded to the University Grants Commission, it refused to grant approval and refused to release the grant as the petitioners were not appointed on the regular basis. On the basis of the aforesaid communications, the petitioners are no longer in service since 2012.

As per the recruitment notice, the petitioners were offered appointment only upto 31.03.2016 under University Grants Commission Assistance Programme. The appointment of the petitioners was purely a temporary appointment. It was provided that it would automatically terminate at the expiry of the contract period.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the petitioners, if so advised, may avail alternative remedy against the College or the Government as the case may be.

With these observations, the present petition is disposed of.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

January 30th, 2023

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Ay

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*