

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.28562 of 2023

Date of decision: 4th August, 2023

Chanchal @ Chanchal Ahuja

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Neeraj Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Jasleen Chahal, Asst. Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.80 dated 07.02.2023 registered under Sections 420, 406, 120-B of IPC and Section 3 of Haryana Protection of Interest of Depositors in the Financial Establishment Act, 2013 (added later on) at Police Station Sadar, Thanesar, District Kurukshetra.

2. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 01.06.2023, while noticing the following submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation.

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that neither the petitioner is the beneficiary of the alleged transaction nor any amount has been received in her account and she has been implicated only on the ground that she is the wife of one of the co-accused, namely,

Rohtash, whose arrest has already been stayed by this Court vide order dated 25.04.2023 passed in CRM-M-20308 of 2023 and one other co-accused, namely, Shilpa Mittal has already been granted concession of interim anticipatory bail vide order dated 13.03.2023 passed in CRM-M-11253-2023. He submits that since nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner, her further custodial interrogation is not required and she is ready to join the investigation.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 01.06.2023, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 01.06.2023 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

August 4, 2023
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No