

2023:PHHC:131592-DB

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

110

CWP-19129-2019

Date of Decision : **October 10, 2023**

SURINDER KUMAR AND OTHERSPetitioners

VERSUS

THE STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERSRespondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI**

Present : Mr. Mahipal S. Yadav, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. AG, Haryana with
Mr. Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR. J.(Oral)

1. Since the *lis* is covered by the Constitution Bench judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, rendered in case titled as “**Indore Development Authority Vs. Manohar Lal and others,**” AIR 2020 SC 1496. Moreover when the requisite discharging evidence with respect to the accomplishment of the twin apposite statutory parameters embodied in Section 24(2) of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, also stands adduced.
2. In consequence, this Court finds no merit in the instant writ petition thus claiming the relief for declaring the notification concerned to become lapsed.
3. Consequently, the instant writ petition is dismissed alongwith all pending applications, if any.

**(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE**

**(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE**

October 10, 2023

ajay-1

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No

Whether Reportable. : Yes/No