

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

201

Civil Writ Petition No.11406 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision: February 2nd, 2023

Sodhi Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Hemant Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Advocate
for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that there is no amount due towards the petitioners qua the plots in question and, therefore, the writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioners asserts that the amount which has been deposited by the petitioners is in excess of the amount, which was payable to the respondents.

Perusal of the prayer made in the writ petition would not indicate that the petitioners had actually claimed such a refund or had made such a pleading with regard to the excess amount having been deposited by the petitioners, refund of which should be granted to them. However, liberty is granted to the petitioners to move a representation to the respondents in case they have material to substantiate that excess amount has been paid to the respondents along with the proof thereof. The said representation be filed within a period of four weeks from today, which shall be considered by the Administrator, HSVP, Gurugram, within a period of six weeks of

Submission of such representation. Decision so taken be conveyed to the

petitioners forthwith.

The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

February 2nd, 2023
Puneet

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No