

104+257

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-1146-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision : 21.03.2023**

Jaspal Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Union of India and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Sher Singh Rathore, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Arun Gosain, Senior Government Counsel, UOI
for respondent No.1.

Ms. Lavanya Paul, DAG, Punjab
for respondent No.2.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution, *inter alia*, for quashing of the impugned order dated 23.02.2018 (P-6) on the premise that application submitted under Section 28A of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short, 'the Act'), was declined by the Land Acquisition Collector-respondent No.2.

A bare perusal of the impugned order reveals that respondent No.2 while passing the impugned order, simply adjourned the matter *sine die* till the Award dated 22.02.2012 attains finality.

In view of the above, this Court was inclined to dismiss the writ petition.

Faced with the above situation, learned counsel, on instructions from petitioners, wishes to withdraw the writ petition with liberty to pursue their application under Section 28A (*supra*), already pending for consideration before respondent No.2.

Ordered accordingly.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

21.03.2023
atulsethi

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No