

**204 C.M. No. 2026-2023 in C.M. No.7552-2020
in/and RA-CW-169-2020 in CWP-22976-2018**

JANAK KUMAR

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

Present:- Mr. Suresh Kumar Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Surinder Gandhi, Advocate
for the applicant/respondent No. 3

Ms. Mamta Singla Talwar, DAG, Haryana

Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate
for the respondent-HPSC.

C.M. No. 2026-2023

Application is allowed as prayed for.

Copy of replies of review application as well as application i.e
C.M. No. 7552-2023 are taken on record.

C.M. No. 7552-2022

The present application seeking impleadment of the applicant is
allowed and he is impleaded as respondent No. 3 in the present petition.

RA-CW-169-2020

The present application has been filed by applicant/respondent
No. 3 seeking recalling and review of order dated 31.10.2018 passed in
CWP No. 22976-2018 (R-1) whereby writ petition filed by the petitioner
was allowed and the respondents were directed to issue appointment letter
to the petitioner-Janak Kumar.

Learned State counsel at the very outset has placed on record
memo dated 15.02.2023 sent to learned State counsel by Director General,
MSME, Haryana. This memo is taken on record as (Annexure R-9). As per
Annexure R-3 annexed with this memo, Good Year Company has certified
that petitioner was engaged with the organization as 'Graduate Engineer
Trainee' and his role was to supervise the production line as 'Shift
Supervisor'. He worked successfully from 10.01.2011 to 10.01.2012 in
manufacturing operations of the company. Further it was verified vide
verification report dated 21.06.2019 (Annexure A) that experience
certificate of Janak Kumar issued by the Company is genuine. Similar is the
verification report given by HCL Infosys dated 25.01.2023 (Annexure B)
that the experience certificate issued to the petitioner is genuine.

Now, reference can be made to reply filed by respondent No. 2 to the review application wherein it has been stated that the Raj Mohan had secured 46.51 marks and Janak Raj-petitioner has secured 59.04 marks under S.C Category and thus the petitioner was declared successful being more meritorious candidate, vide result dated 14.11.2018.

The present review application is liable to be dismissed as firstly respondent No. 3 has secured less marks than the petitioner and secondly, the certificates given by the petitioner were found to be genuine, as per verification report (Annexure A and B). This verification was done independently by the State.

In view of the above, the review application stands dismissed.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

15.02.2023
G Arora