

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.113

CRM-M-29821-2022

Date of Decision : January 23, 2023

M/s Anant Precession Pvt. Ltd. and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Anita Sharma

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Manuj Nagrath, Advocate, for the petitioners.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

Office report indicates that *dasti* notice issued to the respondent has not been received back served or otherwise.

This petition has been filed for quashing of order dated 01.11.2019 whereby cross-examination of CW-1 was treated as NIL and order dated 13.05.2022 whereby defence evidence of the accused was closed. These orders have been passed in a complaint filed by the respondent under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has no answer to the query put by the Court regarding maintainability of the petition.

In my considered opinion, the orders aforementioned are revisable and thus, the present petition is not maintainable.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioners wishes to withdraw this petition with liberty to approach the revisional Court.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforementioned liberty. Delay, if any, in filing the revision petition shall be condoned, if an application for the said purpose is filed.

January 23, 2023

Ankur

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether Reportable

(SUDHIR MITTAL)

JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No