

106

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-12396-2023

Date of decision:02.06.2023

SHEETAL SINGH AND ORS.

...Petitioners

Versus

JOINT DEVELOPMENT COMMISSIONER, PUNJAB AND ORS.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARIPresent: Mr. H.P.S. Ghuman, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Deepali Puri, Addl. A.G. Punjab

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. A statutory appeal bearing No.PUN/JDC/EV/2021/000414 of 2021 is *subjudice* before the Competent Appellate Authority concerned. The said statutory appeal is directed against the verdict drawn by the learned Collector-cum-District Development & Panchayat Officer, Ludhiana, as enclosed in Annexure P-4, on a petition cast under Section 7 of The Punjab Village Common Lands (Regulation) Act, 1961. During the pendency of the above statutory appeal, an application for staying the operation of the execution of Annexure P-4 was instituted, but it is revealed from a perusal of Annexure P-6, that the longevity of the status quo order is upto 15.07.2023.

2. Be that as it may, since the statutory appeal as above stated is *subjudice*, therefore, the Competent Appellate Authority concerned, is not only directed to within a period of 2 months from today after hearing all affected persons make a lawful decision on the statutory appeal, but is also desired, that

the Competent Appellate Authority concerned, may not extend the longevity of the status quo order on different dates, rather proceed to extend the longevity of the said status quo order, thus uptill a decision is made on the statutory appeal.

3. Disposed of accordingly.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

02.06.2023

Ithlesh

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No