

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

205

**CRWP-6704-2022 (O&M)
Decided on : 13.01.2023**

Raghuveer Singh

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Ms. Kamalpreet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Deepak Arora, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Anmol Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No. 2 and 3, to provide protection of lives and liberty of the petitioner and his family members on account of the fact that petitioner had made a complaint to police station regarding illegal allotments of the plots while real beneficiaries were deprived. This has also been pleaded that respondent No. 4-Chhindo Devi, who is Sarpanch of the village and her family members had attack upon the petitioners and his family on account of making a complaint by him.

Perusal of the file reveals that vide order dated 14.07.2022, notice of motion was issued by co-ordinate Bench of this Court.

Today, status report dated 19.09.2022 is being filed by learned State counsel on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3 and same is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place.

Relevant paragraph 3 of the status report says as under:

“3. That on the basis of the statement made

by the Petitioner, one case F.I.R No. 84 dated 06.09.2022 for Offences punishable under Section 323, 325, 452, 427 and 34 IPC at Police Station Sadar Pathankot, District Pathankot stands registered against three persons namely Roop Lal, Rohit Hans and Harbans Lal @ Bansafroor giving beatings to Petitioner's mother namely Bimla Rani and Petitioner's son namely Sukhbir Singh on 05.07.2022. A true translated copy of the F.I.R is being placed on record as Annexure R1/1."

Since FIR has already been registered as projected in the said status report, no further direction is required to be passed in the present matter.

However, respondent No. 2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot, would ensure that there should not be any harm to lives and liberty of the petitioners and his family members on account of his raising voice against wrong doers in the village.

In view of the aforementioned observations and terms, present petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

13.01.2023
Riya

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*