

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

113

CWP No.12395 of 2023

Date of decision: 01.06.2023

RANJIT SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Nonish Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH , J.(ORAL)

The petitioner has preferred this writ petition for the issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondent No.3 to decide his claim towards grant of permit as per his application dated 26.08.2022 on the route Rasulpur to Amritsar via Bama, Wadala Johal, Malakpur, Nangal Dayal Singh Talwandi Dogra, Manawala and Daburji.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is aggrieved against the in action on the part of the respondent No.3 in not taking prompt action on the application filed by the petitioner. The petitioner has also served a legal notice upon the respondent No.3 on 20.03.2023.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner

further submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in case his legal notice dated 20.03.2023 is directed to be considered and decided in accordance with law in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sanjeev Soni, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and submits that the legal notice of the petitioner dated 20.03.2023 shall be considered by the competent authority in accordance with law preferably within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Disposed of accordingly.

01.06.2023

P.Bhatt

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No