209 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-16170-2019
Date of Decision:20.10.2023

VIRENDER ..... Petitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS ..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Manoj Chahal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gehna Vaishnavi, Central Govt. Counsel
for the respondents-UOI.

****

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the respondents- UOI, at the outset submits that there were four vacancies meant for unreserved candidates. Three candidates belonging to OBC category secured more marks than the candidates of General category and they occupied seats meant for unreserved. There was only one seat which was left for General category and another candidates secured more marks than petitioner, thus, he was selected.

2. Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner expressed his inability to controvert the aforesaid fact.

3. In the wake of statements of learned counsel for the parties, the petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

20.10.2023
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	*Yes/No*

Asgar Ali
2023.10.20 17:56
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document