

2023:PHHC:080616

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-12623-2023
Date of decision: 01.06.2023

Trivander Pal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Kamaldip Singh Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Challenge in the present petition is to the list dated 05.12.2022 (Annexure P-16) issued by the Haryana Kaushal Rozgar Nigam Ltd., vide which the private respondents have been illegally selected for the post of Post Graduate Teacher (PGT) (Sanskrit).

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that being eligible, the petitioner had applied for the posts of PGT (Sanskrit) and PGT (Hindi), and that earlier also, the petitioner approached this Court by way of CWP-4343-2023, which had been disposed of, vide order dated 02.03.2023, with a direction to the Director, Haryana Kaushal Rozgar Nigam Ltd., to decide the legal notice dated 18.01.2023, by passing a speaking order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that the Chief Executive Officer, Haryana Kaushal Rozgar Nigam Ltd., while deciding the legal notice dated 18.01.2023, in pursuance of the aforesaid order dated 02.03.2023, has rejected the candidature of the petitioner for

the post of PGT (Hindi) on the ground that he did not possess HTET certificate in the same very subject, rather he had the certificate of having qualified HTET in the subject of Sanskrit.

Learned counsel further contends that so far as the post of PGT (Sanskrit) is concerned, the petitioner possesses the certificate of having qualified HTET in the same subject, but his candidature has not been considered for the aforesaid post whereas respondents No.4 to 23, who are less meritorious than the petitioner, have been selected.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that for redressal of his grievance, the petitioner has moved representation/application dated 29.11.2022 (Annexure P-13) in the C.M.Window, which is still pending consideration and that the petitioner will be satisfied in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.3-Director, Haryana Kaushal Rojgar Nigam Ltd., to decide the said representation.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only, at this stage.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Rohit Arya, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3-State and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 29.11.2022 (Annexure P-13) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the request made by the learned counsel for the petitioner during the course of hearing, but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, respondent No.3 is directed to decide the representation dated 29.11.2022 (Annexure P-13) by passing a speaking

order within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

Disposed of.

01.06.2023
parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No