

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

133

CR-4037-2019 (O&M)
Date of Order:15.11.2023

DEEPINDERJIT SIDHU

.Petitioner

Versus

SUKHJIV KAUR JOHAL

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Rajbir Singh Guron, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J

1. The petitioner herein is the mother of the respondent. A preliminary decree for partition of the property has already been passed on 04.07.2016. The petitioner filed an application under Section 3(1) and 4 of the Partition Act, 1893, for the evaluation of the property as she wants to produce the share of the respondent. The court has held that such provision is applicable only if the other party is a stranger. In this case, the petitioner and the respondent are members of the same family.

2. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts and discussion, no ground to interfere is made out.

3. Dismissed.

4. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

November 15, 2023
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

:YES/NO
:YES/NO