

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(108)

CWP No.12254 of 2023

Date of Decision : 04.09.2023

Sumitra

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr..Vikas Sonak Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

In the present petition, the only grievance being raised by the petitioner is that he is entitled for regularization of her services with effect from the date the services of the person junior to her, were regularized.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated 23.01.2023 (Annexure P-7) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Pankaj Middha, learned

notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection in deciding the legal notice dated 23.01.2023 (Annexure P-7) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order and in case, petitioner is found entitled for any benefit after the said decision, the same will be extended to the petitioner within a further period of four weeks.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may be disposed of as having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

September 04, 2023
jt

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No