

RA-CW-126-2022 in CWP-13545-2015
RA-CW-127-2022 in CWP-14402-2015
RA-CW-128-2022 in CWP-14403-2015
RA-CW-129-2022 in CWP-19846-2016
RA-CW-130-2022 in CWP-13534-2015
RA-CW-131-2022 in CWP-14719-2015

ANOOP SINGH AND ANOTHER VS. PSPCL AND OTHERS

Present : Ms. Anu Chatrath, Senior Advocate with
 Mr. Abhivrat, Advocate
 for the applicant-respondents.

The solitary contention raised by the learned Senior counsel for the
 applicants-respondents seeking review of the judgment dated 13.11.2018 is that
 the law relied upon by the Writ Court while allowing the writ petition was
 propounded in **Thana Singh and others Vs. The Punjab State Electricity**
Board and another in CWP No. 9294 of 1993. SLP No.10896-2011 filed against
 the same stands allowed on 8.1.2019 in favour of the respondent-Corporation in
 Civil Appeal No. 193 of 2019 **Punjab State Electricity Board and another Vs.**
Thana Singh and others (Annexure A-2). Resultantly, the order under review
 needs to be recalled.

I have heard learned Senior counsel appearing on behalf of the
 applicants-respondents and in the considered opinion of this Court, on the
 aforesaid grounds the review cannot be maintained.

In order to maintain the review, applicant has to show an error
 apparent on the face of record which is not built in the present review

RA-CW-126-2022 in CWP-13545-2015

-2-

RA-CW-127-2022 in CWP-14402-2015

RA-CW-128-2022 in CWP-14403-2015

RA-CW-129-2022 in CWP-19846-2016

RA-CW-130-2022 in CWP-13534-2015

RA-CW-131-2022 in CWP-14719-2015

application.

Consequently, the review applications are dismissed.

January 25, 2023

archana

(PANKAJ JAIN)

JUDGE